



## Assessment Information

[CoreTrustSeal Requirements 2017–2019](#)

Repository: The Language Archive  
Website: <https://archive.mpi.nl>  
Certification Date: 18 January 2019

This repository is owned by: Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics



# The Language Archive

## Notes Before Completing the Application

*We have read and understood the notes concerning our application submission.*

True

*Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:

## CORE TRUSTWORTHY DATA REPOSITORIES REQUIREMENTS

### Background & General Guidance

### Glossary of Terms

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

### Context

*R0. Please provide context for your repository.*

*Repository Type. Select all relevant types from:*

Domain or subject-based repository

*Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:  
Accept

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:  
Accept

**Comments**

*Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:

***Brief Description of the Repository's Designated Community.***

The Language Archive at the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics (TLA) mainly targets scholars of language-related research disciplines. This includes linguists, anthropologists and psychologists, but also neurobiologists and geneticists who study language-related phenomena. The rich collections of recordings of languages and cultures in their natural context however are of interest to a much broader range of scholars, e.g. ethnomusicologists, ethnobiologists, as well as to the general public.

*Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:  
Accept

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:  
Accept

***Level of Curation Performed. Select all relevant types from:***

- A. Content distributed as deposited, B. Basic curation – e.g. brief checking; addition of basic metadata or documentation,
- C. Enhanced curation – e.g. conversion to new formats; enhancement of documentation

*Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## *Comments*

The level of curation that TLA performs varies per collection but is never data-level curation. Most often materials are either distributed as deposited or basic curation is performed.

### *Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## *Outsource Partners. If applicable, please list them.*

TLA replicates its data to two data centres of the Max Planck Society, the MPCDF in Garching and the GWDG in Göttingen. These data centres have a services catalogue and an agreement with the society about the services that they offer to all Max Planck Institutes.

TLA is one of the centres of the CLARIN European research infrastructure. As such, TLA also makes use of a number of services offered by CLARIN, such as the Service Provider Federation to connect to national identity federations and the Nagios monitoring service (in addition to in-house Nagios monitoring of servers and services).

Max Planck Computing and Data Facility (MPCDF) services:

<http://www.mpcdf.mpg.de/services> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Gesellschaft für wissenschaftliche Datenverarbeitung mbH Göttingen (GWDG) services catalogue:

<https://www.gwdg.de/web/guest/about-us/catalog/catalog-mpg> [accessed 28-03-2018]

CLARIN services:

<https://www.clarin.eu/content/services> [accessed 28-03-2018]

### *Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

## **Reviewer 2**

Comments:  
Accept

### ***Other Relevant Information.***

TLA just replaced its repository solution which was completely built in-house with a new solution that is based on Fedora Commons and Islandora. A number of features of the old solution are not yet available in the new solution, such as a “citation button” and the option to search in textual content other than metadata. These features will be added again in future updates of the new system.

TLA is a “B-Type” CLARIN Centre and a WDS regular member.

CLARIN certified centres (TLA listed as MPI for Psycholinguistics):

<https://www.clarin.eu/node/3822> [accessed 28-03-2018]

ICSU-WDS regular members list:

<https://www.icsu-wds.org/community/membership/regular-members> [accessed 28-03-2018]

re3data entry for TLA:

<http://doi.org/10.17616/R3CP50> [accessed 28-03-2018]

### ***Reviewer Entry***

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:  
Accept

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:  
Accept

## **ORGANIZATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE**

### **I. Mission/Scope**

***R1. The repository has an explicit mission to provide access to and preserve data in its domain.***

***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### ***Response:***

The Language Archive at the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics (TLA) holds one of the largest collections of language related research data worldwide. It strives to provide a unique record of how people around the world speak in everyday family life. It focuses on collecting spoken and signed language materials in audio and video form along with transcriptions, analyses, annotations and other types of relevant ancillaries (e.g. photos, accompanying notes). The archive includes speech data from everyday interactions in families and communities, focusing on families with children, but including naturalistic data from adult conversations from under-studied languages and linguistic phenomena. Due to a variety of reasons, including globalization and political repression, many of these under-studied languages are at risk of no longer being spoken by future generations. TLA's goal is to preserve its language collections for future use and to make them available for research and other uses both now and in the future. A selection of the archive's holdings was recognized by UNESCO as Memory of the World in 2015.

In addition to collections of language materials, the archive also holds a range of materials from language-related studies conducted by research staff at the Max Planck Institute. This includes e.g. eye tracking data, fMRI brain scans, gene sequences, and reaction-time measurements. For these types of data, there is a minimum preservation commitment for 10 years after publication, which is a requirement from the Max Planck Society. There are currently no policies to deaccession these materials after this period, but this standpoint will be re-evaluated from time to time.

A public mission statement can be found here: <https://archive.mpi.nl/mission>

This mission is endorsed by the directorate of the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## **II. Licenses**

## ***R2. The repository maintains all applicable licenses covering data access and use and monitors compliance.***

### ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### ***Reviewer Entry***

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### ***Response:***

Depositors within the MPI for Psycholinguistics are required to deposit any research data that resulted in a publication in The Language Archive. Since these data belong to the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, no specific deposit agreements are needed.

TLA uses deposit forms for external depositors. For depositors within the DOBES programme, this is the following agreement: [http://dobes.mpi.nl/ethical\\_legal\\_aspects/DOBES-daa-v1.pdf](http://dobes.mpi.nl/ethical_legal_aspects/DOBES-daa-v1.pdf) Agreements with other external depositors are largely based on this.

The archive uses a number of agreements for usage of its collections:

- CLARIN RES+BY+NORED
- Creative Commons BY-NC-SA 3.0
- The DOBES Code of Conduct v.2, which covers all collections that are part of the DOBES part of the archive.

In addition, there are a number of collection-specific usage agreements that contain for example a specific citation requirement or a co-authorship requirement.

The archive has 4 access levels for granting/restricting access to archived resources. The depositor decides which level is appropriate for their collection, or part of their collection.

- Materials that are completely open and can be downloaded by anyone without the need to log in
- Materials that are available to any authenticated user. Users can log in with their own institutional account if their organization is part of the CLARIN identity federation or can create a dedicated account for the archive otherwise.

- Materials for which access needs to be requested. In many cases such a request will need to be approved by the depositor or by a designated organization.
- Materials that are temporarily inaccessible. This level is used in exceptional cases for individual files to which access needs to be restricted, e.g. due to cultural reasons in a given language community.

In addition to these 4 existing access levels, an “academic use” level is planned for the near future in order to automatically grant access to anyone with an academic affiliation (as determined by their federated login or assigned manually for local accounts). This should reduce the need for some of the more restrictive access level allocations.

The new repository system does not currently display the applicable access level for a given object. This functionality will be added in the coming months.

Depositor-Archivist agreement within the DOBES programme:

[http://dobes.mpi.nl/ethical\\_legal\\_aspects/DOBES-daa-v1.pdf](http://dobes.mpi.nl/ethical_legal_aspects/DOBES-daa-v1.pdf) [accessed 28-03-2018]

DOBES Code of Conduct v2:

[http://dobes.mpi.nl/ethical\\_legal\\_aspects/DOBES-coc-v2.pdf](http://dobes.mpi.nl/ethical_legal_aspects/DOBES-coc-v2.pdf) [accessed 28-03-2018]

CLARIN end user license agreements:

<https://kitwiki.csc.fi/twiki/bin/view/FinCLARIN/ClarínEULA> [accessed 28-03-2018]

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

### **III. Continuity of access**

***R3. The repository has a continuity plan to ensure ongoing access to and preservation of its holdings.***

#### ***Compliance Level:***

3 – The repository is in the implementation phase



### *Reviewer Entry*

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

3 – The repository is in the implementation phase

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

3 – The repository is in the implementation phase

### ***Response:***

TLA aims to preserve and provide access to its language collections indefinitely, and for a minimal period of 10 years for its other collection types. The core of the archive has a solid basis in terms of funding and permanent staff within the MPI for Psycholinguistics as described under R5. The MPI as a whole gets reviewed every three years by its international scientific advisory board (<https://www.mpi.nl/institute/scientific-council>). Every 6 years this review also includes a review of the technical support group, to which the core of the archive staff belongs. In theory, Max Planck departments or even entire institutes could be closed down after a number of unfavourable reviews in a row. The MPI for Psycholinguistics has until now always received outstanding reviews though and can therefore be considered a solid organisation to host the archive.

Should the archive no longer be able to fulfil its task within the current host organization however, it will do its utmost to transfer the collections to a different organization that can take over the long-term preservation and access task, either within the Max Planck Society, or elsewhere such as with another CLARIN centre. No formal arrangements for this are currently in place though.

The Max Planck Society guarantees bit-stream preservation of TLA's holdings for a period of 50 years.

The archive will be working on providing a fall-back instance of its repository system at the Max Planck Society's data centres where the replication copies are located, such that in the event of a disaster at the location in Nijmegen, access can be provided instantly via these alternative locations.

### *Reviewer Entry*

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## **IV. Confidentiality/Ethics**

***R4. The repository ensures, to the extent possible, that data are created, curated, accessed, and used in compliance with disciplinary and ethical norms.***

### ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### ***Reviewer Entry***

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### ***Response:***

TLA contains various kinds of datasets, for which different legal and ethical criteria play a role. Researchers within the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics who use human subjects in their studies are bound to the ethical rules regarding human subject data from the Max Planck Society and have to get approval from an ethics committee at Radboud University or at Radboud University Medical Center, depending on the kind of study. A large collection within TLA is the DOBES (DOcumentation BEdrohter Sprachen) archive, which contains data sets that are collected within the DOBES endangered languages documentation programme funded by the Volkswagen Foundation. Depositors in a DOBES project are bound to ethical rules of the DOBES code of conduct. The archive does not (and cannot) systematically verify whether the data it receives is collected according to these rules.

Data with disclosure risk are typically only available upon request (see R2). Physical access to the archive's technical infrastructure is limited to only those people who manage the infrastructure (system administrators). Besides that, archive management and curation staff are the only ones who have access to all data. All staff of the Max Planck Society need to follow the data protection guidelines of the society (available upon request). All technical staff at the MPI sign a data confidentiality agreement upon the start of their employment.

Archived data are anonymised whenever appropriate and possible, however in the case of audio and video recordings, no transformations are performed to make subjects unrecognisable, as this would render the data useless for many purposes. In some cases, data are explicitly not anonymised if this is the wish of the subject.

Ethics committee for research involving human subjects at Radboud University Medical Center. (Dutch only, but instructions available in English as well):

<https://www.radboudumc.nl/over-het-radboudumc/kwaliteit-en-veiligheid/commissie-mensgebonden-onderzoek> [accessed

28-03-2018]

Informed consent and ethics committees at Radboud University:

<http://www.ru.nl/rdm/collecting-data/informed-consent-ethics-committees/> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Description of the DOBES programme for documentation of endangered languages:

<http://dobes.mpi.nl/dobesprogramme/> [accessed 28-03-2018]

DOBES Code of Conduct v2:

[http://dobes.mpi.nl/ethical\\_legal\\_aspects/DOBES-coc-v2.pdf](http://dobes.mpi.nl/ethical_legal_aspects/DOBES-coc-v2.pdf) [accessed 28-03-2018]

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## **V. Organizational infrastructure**

*R5. The repository has adequate funding and sufficient numbers of qualified staff managed through a clear system of governance to effectively carry out the mission.*

### ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### ***Response:***

The core of TLA is funded by the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, with additional project funding typically coming from Dutch, German or European research funders (currently from the German BMBF).

At the moment, the archive has the following staff:

- 1 Senior Archive Manager, 1 FTE, permanent position. Overall management, policy development, technical infrastructure design and planning, repository system management, data/metadata curation, user assistance and training
- 1 Junior Archive Manager, 1 FTE, permanent position. User assistance & training, digitisation, file/format conversions, data/metadata curation, user manuals & documentation
- 1 Junior Archive Manager, 1 FTE, 5 year position (2017-2022). See above.
- 1 Software Developer back-end: 0.6 FTE, permanent position. Development and maintenance of back-end components in relation to the repository, mainly in Java.
- 1 Software Developer front-end: 0.8 FTE, 5 year position (2018-2023). Development and maintenance of front-end components in relation to the repository, mainly in PHP.
- 1 Software Developer applications: 0.8 FTE, permanent position. Development and maintenance of stand-alone client applications, mainly the ELAN linguistic annotator, written in Java.

In addition to these positions, the archive makes use of the IT facilities and staff of the Max Planck Institute for its servers, storage, and general infrastructure support. The development of the customised parts of the archive's repository system is done in collaboration with the Meertens institute in Amsterdam.

The management team that is responsible for the archive consists of the Director of the MPI's Language Development department, the head of the MPI's technical group, and the Senior Archive Manager.

The core operation and development of the archive is part of the overall technical infrastructure that the MPI's technical group maintains. This is a priority for the long term. Any additional project-based work to enhance the archive's exploration environment and to support additional external deposits currently falls under the responsibility of the director of the Language Development Department, who is committed to apply for additional funding when necessary.

Training and professional development wishes from the archives staff can almost always be accommodated by the MPI.

### *Reviewer Entry*

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:  
Accept

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:  
Accept

For the next renewal, it would be good to see a description of professional development activities that are completed by staff.

## **VI. Expert guidance**

***R6. The repository adopts mechanism(s) to secure ongoing expert guidance and feedback (either inhouse or external, including scientific guidance, if relevant).***

### ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### ***Reviewer Entry***

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### ***Response:***

The senior archive manager closely follows developments in the areas of research data, data preservation and repository systems, by attending conferences and workshops, by monitoring online sources of information, and by being a member of the Research Data Alliance. Being a member of the DSA and now CTS boards also provides a great insight into the evolving requirements for trusted digital repositories.

The software developers are following relevant developments in their areas of expertise by attending workshops, development camps or conferences, in addition to monitoring relevant online sources of information.

As a CLARIN Centre, the archive is informed about technical developments in the field of language resources, tools and infrastructure, and is periodically evaluated by the CLARIN centre committee. The CTS assessment is an important source of information for this periodic evaluation.

The directorate of the MPI as well as the MPI's Research Facilities Committee inform the archive about scientific requirements from the different sub-disciplines that are present in the institute. External guidance for the domain of the documentation of endangered languages is offered by the DOBES steering committee.

Technical advice about IT infrastructure is available from the Max Planck Society's head office. They also conduct periodic IT audits of all member institutes.

#### ***Reviewer Entry***

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

Missing from this appears to be any direct input from the TLA's user community. This is an aspect of engagement the archive might want to consider developing.

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:  
Accept

## DIGITAL OBJECT MANAGEMENT

### VII. Data integrity and authenticity

*R7. The repository guarantees the integrity and authenticity of the data.*

***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

*Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:  
4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:  
4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

***Response:***

TLA keeps MD5 checksums for every deposited object in its Fedora Commons (3.8.1) repository. In addition, it uses a Versity (SAM-QFS based) hierarchical storage management system that also maintains MD5 checksums in its file system inode metadata. The Fedora checksums are automatically validated periodically, and the file system checksums are compared upon each file access.

The archive allows changes to any metadata or data object. An ingest of a modified object will trigger the creation of a new version in the repository. All older versions are kept, and the Handle persistent identifiers will keep referring to them. The new version of the object will get a new Handle PID, as will any “ancestors” of the object in a hierarchical collection. This will allow users to refer to a “snapshot” of the collection at a given point in time.

An audit trail of all modifications is kept in Fedora Commons' metadata.

Almost all data enters the repository via the self-deposit web interface. Users need to log in with their personal account

and are being assigned deposit permissions for a specific section of the repository by the archive management staff.

Versity Software, Inc.

<http://www.versity.com> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Fedora Commons 3.8.1 documentation:

<https://wiki.duraspace.org/display/FEDORA38/Fedora+3.8+Documentation> [accessed 28-03-2018]

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## VIII. Appraisal

*R8. The repository accepts data and metadata based on defined criteria to ensure relevance and understandability for data users.*

### *Compliance Level:*

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### *Response:*

TLA's collection development policy can be found here: <https://archive.mpi.nl/collection-development-policy>

TLA has a list of accepted long-term archival formats as well as a list of accepted formats for which only bit-stream preservation can be guaranteed. (<https://archive.mpi.nl/accepted-file-formats>). The latter list exists because for certain data types there are no suitable formats that fulfil the preservation format criteria of TLA's preservation policy. Yet, the archive has the obligation to preserve all data that is collected within the MPI and that resulted in a publication for a

minimum duration of 10 years, as determined by the Max Planck Society. Formats that are not on the list will be rejected and should be converted first, in principal by the depositor (the archive's staff may assist in exceptional cases).

The archive performs automatic file format validations upon ingest. Unaccepted formats as well as invalid files in accepted formats are rejected. The archive also enforces file extension conventions as listed in the accepted formats list, files that do not conform to these conventions are rejected.

For certain metadata profiles, a number of metadata fields are mandatory. In other cases, archive staff will randomly verify whether the deposited metadata is acceptable. What is acceptable also depends on the type of collection, e.g. in the case of legacy data collections it would be more acceptable if the metadata is not complete than for recent materials of which the collector is still alive. For legacy materials, the archive's staff will try to enrich the received metadata with any further information that can be reliably recuperated.

TLA collection development policy:

<https://archive.mpi.nl/collection-development-policy> [accessed 28-03-2018]

TLA accepted file formats:

<https://archive.mpi.nl/accepted-file-formats> [accessed 28-03-2018]

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## **IX. Documented storage procedures**

*R9. The repository applies documented processes and procedures in managing archival storage of the data.*

### ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository



## ***Response:***

The archive uses a Versity (SAM-QFS based) hierarchical storage management system for its archival storage. This system consists of layers of different storage technology (SSD, Hard drive arrays, LTO7 tape library). A rule-based system determines which data is stored on which layer, when data moves from one layer to another, and how many copies of each file are stored. For all materials in the archive, one copy is kept on disk and two copies are kept on tape automatically.

All archived files are replicated to two data centres of the Max Planck Society, the MPCDF in Garching and the GWDG in Göttingen, which means that a minimum of 5 copies exist in 3 geographically distinct locations. All replicated copies elsewhere are stored under the agreement that they are made available under the same conditions and access restrictions, if they are made available (which is not yet the case).

The MPI for Psycholinguistics has a disaster recovery plan as part of its general IT operations handbook. However, in the case of a major disaster such as fire or flooding, it may take a long time before the physical structures are back in place to execute the plan. Therefore, the archive is working on replicating its repository software to the data centres as well, such that access can be provided via these locations almost instantly.

Versity Software, Inc.

<http://www.versity.com> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Max Planck Computing and Data Facility (MPCDF):

<http://www.mpcdf.mpg.de> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Gesellschaft für wissenschaftliche Datenverarbeitung mbH Göttingen (GWDG)

<https://www.gwdg.de> [accessed 28-03-2018]

### ***Reviewer Entry***

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## **X. Preservation plan**

***R10. The repository assumes responsibility for long-term preservation and manages this function in a planned and documented way.***

## ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### ***Reviewer Entry***

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

## ***Response:***

TLA's preservation policy can be found here: <https://archive.mpi.nl/preservation-policy>

As mentioned under R1 and R3, TLA has different preservation commitments for different types of collections. For the largest part of its holdings, the collections with spoken, signed, or written language data, the goal is to preserve these indefinitely. For the other types of collections, the minimum preservation commitment is 10 years after publication. There are currently no policies to deaccession these materials after this period, but this standpoint will be re-evaluated from time to time.

The archive's rights to archive, transform and provide access to its holdings are arranged in the deposit agreements as far as external depositors is concerned.

TLA preservation policy:

<https://archive.mpi.nl/preservation-policy> [accessed 28-03-2018]

### ***Reviewer Entry***

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## **XI. Data quality**

***R11. The repository has appropriate expertise to address technical data and metadata quality and ensures that sufficient information is available for end users to make quality-related evaluations.***

## ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### ***Reviewer Entry***

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

## ***Response:***

Technical validity is verified automatically for all deposited data and metadata upon ingest. Invalid files are rejected. The technical quality of the deposited data and metadata is randomly checked by the archive's staff after ingest. Archive staff deploy scripts to periodically check for obvious errors in metadata values across the archive, such as typos or empty values for important fields.

Many collections in TLA are created over long periods of time, i.e. depositors keep adding additional materials or newer versions of already archived materials. As such, it's not really possible to assess whether such collections are "complete". The usability of a multi-media language corpus for other researchers often depends on whether or not a reasonable portion of it has been transcribed, translated and further linguistically annotated. This is an incredibly time-consuming task though, so it often takes years after an initial deposit before these additional resources become available. Researchers are able to assess whether a collection already has such annotations by looking at the file content of the collections.

In some cases, publications that resulted from the deposited data are part of the collection itself. In other cases, links to publications are provided and methodology descriptions are included with the data. There are however still many collections for which publications exist, but no links are provided yet. This is something that will be looked into.

### ***Reviewer Entry***

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

It is good that the repository plans to look into links between datasets and publications. Something to consider in this regard is the opportunity to engage with Scholix-based initiatives arising from work done by the WDS/RDA Working Group on Scholarly Link Exchange (see <http://www.scholix.org/>).

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## XII. Workflows

*R12. Archiving takes place according to defined workflows from ingest to dissemination.*

### *Compliance Level:*

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### *Response:*

Almost all deposits into TLA are done by researchers themselves via a web-based deposit interface. This interface enforces a rather strict workflow in terms of the structure of the deposit, the assurance that each file is linked to a metadata record, the assurance that each file is valid and is in an accepted format, and the specification of access permissions. A manual for the deposit interface can be found here: <https://archive.mpi.nl/deposit-manual>

The deposit interface does offer some degrees of flexibility. The depositor can decide how to structure their deposit in terms of sub-collections within their collection, and they can choose whether to provide their metadata using web forms or as uploaded files. For users within the Max Planck Institute, the deposit interface can retrieve files from a user's personal network share. For external users, files are uploaded and made available to the deposit tool via a Nextcloud (<https://nextcloud.com>) instance hosted by the archive.

After passing a number of automated checks, the data is finally ingested into the Fedora repository. At that point, derivative files for dissemination are automatically generated for certain file types (currently for video, audio and images).

Ingests done by the archive's staff are typically also done via the same web-based deposit interface. Only in exceptional cases, command line batch ingest scripts are used. These scripts also make use of the same automated checks that the web-based deposit interface deploys.

Nextcloud:

<https://nextcloud.com> [accessed 28-03-2018]

<https://archive.mpi.nl/deposit-manual> [accessed 15-10-2018]

*Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## XIII. Data discovery and identification

*R13. The repository enables users to discover the data and refer to them in a persistent way through proper citation.*

### *Compliance Level:*

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

*Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### *Response:*

TLA offers an elaborate search interface for the metadata of its holdings (<https://archive.mpi.nl>, choose “browser archive”) There is a free text search box as well as a faceted search option for the most important metadata fields. With this faceted search, users can either include or exclude values (exclude by using the minus sign behind the value). In addition to the facets, there are also alphabetically ordered lists of the facet values that can be accessed via the “Browse by” menu at the top.

TLA makes its metadata records available for harvesting via OAI-PMH in three different formats: the original CMDI metadata, a transformation to OAI-DC, and a transformation to OLAC (<http://www.language-archives.org>). The metadata are currently being harvested by the CLARIN Virtual Language Observatory (<https://vlo.clarin.eu>) and the OLAC metadata

aggregator (<http://search.language-archives.org>).

TLA makes use of the Handle system for its persistent identifiers. It runs its own Handle server instance for this purpose. Handle PIDs are issued for each individual object (data files, metadata files, and collection nodes). New versions of objects get a new PID, the old PID stays with that old version. When a child object of a collection or sub-collection is modified, the parent objects also get a new PID, up to the top-node of the collection.

A data citation function that generates a citation on the click of a button (which the old repository system had) is not yet present in the new repository system but will be added in the next months.

Access interface to the archive including search:

<https://archive.mpi.nl> [accessed 28-03-2018]

OLAC metadata standard:

<http://www.language-archives.org> [accessed 28-03-2018]

CLARIN Virtual Language Observatory:

<https://vlo.clarin.eu> [accessed 28-03-2018]

OLAC metadata aggregator

<http://search.language-archives.org> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Handle PID system:

<https://www.handle.net> [accessed 28-03-2018]

### *Reviewer Entry*

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

For the next renewal, it would be good to see that the data citation functionality has been restored.

## **XIV. Data reuse**

***R14. The repository enables reuse of the data over time, ensuring that appropriate metadata are available to support the understanding and use of the data.***

## ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### ***Reviewer Entry***

#### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

## ***Response:***

TLA requires metadata to be provided in one of several accepted CMDI profiles. CMDI (Component MetaData Infrastructure) is a metadata framework that is developed by the CLARIN European research infrastructure (<https://www.clarin.eu>, <https://www.clarin.eu/content/component-metadata>). It is not one fixed metadata schema, but instead a framework that makes it possible to compose metadata profiles from re-usable metadata component blocks. TLA only accepts a limited number of these profiles, as listed on this page: <https://archive.mpi.nl/accepted-metadata>

The accepted data formats of the archive are chosen such that they are ideally both suitable for preservation, as well as usable today by the research community. For some formats, derivatives with a smaller size are generated for easier download and use (currently for video, audio and images).

TLA monitors the suitability of its accepted formats both in terms of long-term preservation as well as current-day use within the community. As mentioned in the preservation policy (<https://archive.mpi.nl/preservation-policy>), important sources of information that are consulted are the Library of Congress Sustainability of Digital Formats site and the National Archives current format summary. Due to the limited number of accepted archival formats that were strategically chosen, only one format migration has been necessary during the almost 20 years that the archive is in operation. TLA has the expertise in-house to develop automated migration pipelines, should the need for further file format migrations arise. In 2016, all metadata were migrated from the IMDI standard that was used up to that point to CMDI metadata.

TLA strongly advises depositors to include all necessary information that is needed to interpret the dataset with their deposit. This includes e.g. specification about annotation conventions, methodology reports, experimental setup reports, descriptions of equipment used, etc.

CLARIN ERIC website:

<https://www.clarin.eu> [accessed 28-03-2018]

CMDI component metadata:

<https://www.clarin.eu/content/component-metadata> [accessed 28-03-2018]

TLA accepted CMDI metadata profiles:

<https://archive.mpi.nl/accepted-metadata> [accessed 28-03-2018]

TLA preservation policy:

<https://archive.mpi.nl/preservation-policy> [accessed 28-03-2018]

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## TECHNOLOGY

### XV. Technical infrastructure

*R15. The repository functions on well-supported operating systems and other core infrastructural software and is using hardware and software technologies appropriate to the services it provides to its Designated Community.*

#### ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### ***Response:***



TLA uses Fedora Commons (3.8.1) for the core of its repository, on top of which it uses the Islandora front-end and an in-house developed deposit front- and back-end. Together, these components implement all technical functions of the OAIS reference model. TLA does not implement “Packages” in a literal sense, i.e. objects are stored as individual files with relation information being stored in Fedora Common’s metadata as well as in the CMDI metadata.

As mentioned already, TLA has just migrated from its own in-house built repository system to a new system that is largely based on Fedora Commons and Islandora. The development of this new system was started a bit over three years ago and is still ongoing as we will add additional features in the coming years. The development is done together with the Meertens institute and the code is publicly available on GitHub.

Since the new system is based on the legacy Fedora Commons 3 branch, TLA will need to migrate to Fedora 4 (or higher) at some point in the next couple of years. This will be another migration trajectory, as the fundamentals of the new Fedora version are radically different from version 3. The Islandora community has started developing an Islandora version for Fedora 4 (which is labelled “Islandora CLAW”). This version however is not ready yet and it may still take another year before a first production-ready candidate is released (there is no official release data or timeline available). As soon as a mature and stable version is available, TLA will develop a timeline and a plan for the migration to this Fedora/Islandora combination.

In terms of operating systems and hardware, the archive’s application servers are VMWare virtual machines running on the VMWare ESXi platform, which is running on Hewlet Packard hardware. The servers use SuSE Linux Enterprise Server as their operating system. The Versity storage system that is used for archival storage runs on Hewlet Packard servers with a CentOS operating system, which connect to a variety of storage hardware.

Fedora Commons 3.8.1 documentation:

<https://wiki.duraspace.org/display/FEDORA38/Fedora+3.8+Documentation> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Fedora Commons 4.x documentation:

<https://wiki.duraspace.org/display/FEDORA4x/Fedora+4.x+Documentation> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Islandora:

<https://islandora.ca> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Islandora CLAW:

<https://github.com/Islandora-CLAW> [accessed 28-03-2018]

OAIS reference model:

<https://public.ccsds.org/pubs/650x0m2.pdf> [accessed 28-03-2018]

*Reviewer Entry*

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## **XVI. Security**

***R16. The technical infrastructure of the repository provides for protection of the facility and its data, products, services, and users.***

### ***Compliance Level:***

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

#### ***Reviewer Entry***

**Reviewer 1**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

**Reviewer 2**

Comments:

4 – The guideline has been fully implemented in the repository

### ***Response:***

The Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics has an IT operations handbook that also described disaster recovery procedures and responsibilities. This handbook is in line with the ISO 27001 information security standard and is audited by the head office of the Max Planck Society.

Most systems are implemented in a redundant manner such that single failures will not impact the operation of the infrastructure. Should any service fail nonetheless, the IT operations handbook describes which procedures need to be followed by whom in order to get the service back in operation as quickly as possible. The MPI employs two monitoring systems (Munin and Nagios) to monitor IT hardware and services. These systems inform the system management staff via SMS messages in case of critical issues. In addition, some of the archive's services are monitored via a Nagios instance under control of CLARIN. This Nagios instance runs at a computing centre in Juelich, Germany, and can therefore also detect connectivity issues from outside the MPI's network, which may go unnoticed by the internal monitoring instances.

As mentioned under R3, TLA is working towards setting up additional instances of its repository software at the data centres that have the replication copies of the data. This will provide an almost instantaneous failover solution for

providing access to the repository in case of a major disaster.

TLA has not undergone a formal risk analysis such as DRAMBORA. The Max Planck Institute as a whole though has recently undergone a risk assessment in terms of safety and security. The outcome of this assessment will become available shortly.

ISO 27001 requirements for an information security management system:

<https://www.iso.org/isoiec-27001-information-security.html> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Nagios IT infrastructure monitoring system:

<https://www.nagios.com> [accessed 28-03-2018]

Munin resource monitoring system:

<http://munin-monitoring.org> [accessed 28-03-2018]

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

Accept

##### **Reviewer 2**

Comments:

Accept

## **APPLICANT FEEDBACK**

### **Comments/feedback**

*These requirements are not seen as final, and we value your input to improve the core certification procedure. To this end, please leave any comments you wish to make on both the quality of the Catalogue and its relevance to your organization, as well as any other related thoughts.*

#### ***Response:***

#### *Reviewer Entry*

##### **Reviewer 1**

Comments:

**Reviewer 2**

Comments: